



## 192621 - If he said to his wife, "You are thrice haraam for me," what is the ruling on that?

---

### the question

I have been married for one year, and I have just one child. There have been some huge problems between me and my husband and my question is: my husband told my mother, and his exact words were: "Your daughter is thrice haraam for me." Am I irrevocably haraam for him or is this regarded as one talaq (divorce)?.

### Detailed answer

Praise be to Allah.

Firstly:

If a man says to his wife, "You are thrice haraam for me," this wording is regarded as a metaphor.

With regard to metaphors, reference is to be made to the husband's intentions. If what he intended by these words was zihaar [a jaahili form of divorce in which the husband says to his wife, "You are to me as my mother's back"], then it is zihaar. If what he intended thereby was talaq (divorce), then it is talaq. If what he intended thereby was an oath, then it is an oath.

Ibn Qudaamah (may Allah have mercy on him) said:

It was narrated from Ahmad - with regard to a man who says to his wife, "You are haraam for me" - that if he intended divorce, then it is divorce. So it is as if he made it a metaphor for divorce, so it counts as a divorce if that was his intention.

If he did not intend divorce, then it is not a divorce under any circumstances, because it was not a clear statement of divorce, so if he did not intend divorce, then it does not count as such, as is the case with all other metaphors.



End quote from al-Mughni (7/318)

He (may Allah have mercy on him) also said:

If he says, "You are haraam for me", if he intended thereby zihhaar, then it is zihhaar, according to the view of the majority of scholars. This was also the view of Abu Haneefah and ash-Shaafa'i.

End quote from al-Mughni (8/8)

Shaykh Ibn 'Uthaymeen (may Allah have mercy on him) said in ash-Sharh al-Mumti' (15/153):

What he says to his wife, "You are haraam for me" may mean one of four things:

- 1.he intended zihhaar
- 2.he intended talaaq (divorce)
- 3.he intended an oath (yameen)
- 4.he did not intend anything.

If he intended zihhaar, then it is zihhaar; if he intended talaaq, then it is talaaq; if he intended an oath, then it is an oath. What matters in our view is the words of the Prophet (blessings and peace of Allah be upon him): "Actions are but by intentions and each man will have but that which he intended."

If he did not intend anything, then it is an oath. The evidence for that is the verse in which Allah, may He be exalted, says (interpretation of the meaning): "O Prophet! Why do you ban (for yourself) that which Allah has made lawful to you, seeking to please your wives? And Allah is Oft-Forgiving, Most Merciful. Allah has already ordained for you (O men), the dissolution of your oaths" [at-Tahreem 66:1-2].

For more information, please see the answer to question no. [81984](#)

Secondly:



What appears to be the case with regard to your husband saying to you, “You are haraam for me” is that what was intended was divorce, based on the fact that he mentioned the number three (“thrice”). It is as if he intended a threefold divorce, and circumstantial evidence may clarify what is meant by metaphors.

See: Kashshaaf al-Qinaa’ (5/253).

Based on that, is it one divorce or three divorces?

There is a difference of scholarly opinion concerning that. The view favoured on this website is that it is one divorce, so the husband may take back his wife during the ‘iddah, if this was a first or second divorce.

For more information, please see the answer to question no. [152067](#)

And Allah knows best.