

Islam Question & Answer

General Supervisor:
Shaykh Muhammad Saalih al-Munajjid

182441 - Is Waraqah ibn Nawfal (may Allah have mercy on him) regarded as one of the Sahaabah?

the question

I want to know whether Waraqah ibn Nawfal was a Sahaabi?

Detailed answer

Praise be to Allah.

There is a difference of opinion as to whether Waraqah ibn Nawfal ibn Asad ibn 'Abd al-'Uzza ibn Qusayy al-Qurashi al-Asadi - the paternal cousin of Khadeejah, the wife of the Prophet (blessings and peace of Allah be upon him) - was one of the Sahaabah.

-1 - Some of the scholars listed him among the Sahaabah, such as at-Tabari, al-Baghawi, Ibn Qaani', Ibn as-Sakan and others, as it says in al-Isaabah (6/607)

az-Zarkali (may Allah have mercy on him) said:

Among the historians are some who regarded him as being one of the Sahaabah. Al-Baghdadi said: Abu'l-Hasan Burhaan ad-Deen Ibraaheem al-Biqaa'i wrote a book about Waraqah's belief in the Prophet and his being a Companion of his, which he called Badhl al-Nus-h wa'sh-Shafaqah li Ta'reef bi Suhbat as-Sayyid Waraqah.

End quote from al-I'laam (8/115)

Shaykh Ibn 'Uthaymeen (may Allah have mercy on him) said:

Islam Question & Answer

General Supervisor:
Shaykh Muhammad Saalih al-Munajjid

What appears to me to be the case – and Allah knows best – is that he is to be regarded as a Sahaabi, so one should say “Radiya Allahu ‘anhu (may Allah be pleased with him)” when mentioning him. End quote.

Shaykh Saalih al-Fawzaan was asked:

What is more appropriate with regard to Waraqah ibn Nawfal if mention is made of him? Can we say “Radiya Allahu ‘anhu (may Allah be pleased with him)”?

He (may Allah preserve him) replied:

Undoubtedly, yes, he is a Sahaabi and we should say “Radiya Allahu ‘anhu (may Allah be pleased with him).” End quote.

According to this view, Waraqah ibn Nawfal was the first man to believe in the Prophet (blessings and peace of Allah be upon him).

Shaykh Ibn ‘Uthaymeen (may Allah have mercy on him) said:

Hence we say: The first woman to believe in him was Khadeejah and the first man to do so was Waraqah ibn Nawfal.

End quote. Majmoo’ Fataawa wa Rasaa’il Ibn ‘Uthaymeen (8/613)

-2- Some of the scholars say that he was not a Sahaabi, but he believed in the Prophet (blessings and peace of Allah be upon him) and died during the cessation of revelation.

Ibn Katheer (may Allah have mercy on him) said:

We have noted above that Waraqah ibn Nawfal believed in the revelation that existed at his time, and died during the cessation of revelation, may Allah be pleased with him.

Islam Question & Answer

General Supervisor:
Shaykh Muhammad Saalih al-Munajjid

End quote from al-Bidaayah wa'n-Nihaayah (3/25).

Adh-Dhahabi quoted the hadith of 'Urwah, according to which he said: Waraqah ibn Nawfal passed by Bilaal when he was being tortured; his back was on the hot sand and he was saying, "One, One." Waraqah said: "One, One, O Bilaal. Patience, O Bilaal. Why are you torturing him? For by the One in Whose hand is my soul, if you kill him, I will surely pray for mercy for him.

Then adh-Dhahabi said: This report is mursal. If Waraqah lived until this time, then he would be regarded as one of the Sahaabah. But the man died during cessation of revelation, after Prophethood began but before the message was proclaimed, as it says in as-Saheeh.

End quote from Siyar A'laam an-Nubala' (1/129).

Al-Haafiz Ibn Hajar (may Allah have mercy on him) said, after quoting the hadith about the beginning of creation:

The apparent meaning of this report indicates that he affirmed his Prophethood, but he died before the Messenger of Allah (blessings and peace of Allah be upon him) began to call people to Islam. So he is like Buhayra, and the issue of describing him as one of the Sahaabah is subject to discussion." (Al-Isaabah 6/607)

-3- Some of the scholars refrained from taking a stance, and mentioned the difference of opinion.

Ibn Mandah said: There is a difference of opinion as to whether he became Muslim. 'Abdullah ibn 'Abbaas narrated from him, but I do not know of anyone who said that Waraqah became Muslim. The Prophet (blessings and peace of Allah be upon him) did not definitively say that he became Muslim, and 'Abdullah ibn 'Abbaas did not hear from him." (Tareekh Dimashq 63/4)

Al-Karmaani said: If you were to ask me, what do you say about Waraqah? Do you deem him to have been a believer? I would say that he was undoubtedly a believer in 'Eesa (peace be upon

Islam Question & Answer

General Supervisor:

Shaykh Muhammad Saalih al-Munajjid

him). As for whether he believed in our Prophet (blessings and peace of Allah be upon him), it is not known whether the religion of 'Eesa had been abrogated at the time of his death or not. If it is proven that it had been abrogated at that time, then the more correct view is that faith is to believe and he believed, and he did not say anything to contradict that." ('Umdat al-Qaari 1/168)

Shaykh 'Abd ar-Razzaq 'Afeefi (may Allah have mercy on him) was asked about Waraqah ibn Nawfal and whether he is to be regarded as a Sahaabi or one of the people of the interval between Prophets?

The Shaykh (may Allah have mercy on him) replied:

If it is proven that he became Muslim at the time of the Messenger (blessings and peace of Allah be upon him), then he is a Sahaabi. But his words "It is an-namoos al-akbar (i.e., Jibreel)" do not clearly indicate that he became Muslim, because he said, "If I live until your time," and he did not live until that time." (Fataawa ash-Shaykh 'Abd ar-Razzaq 'Afeefi p. 313)

What is more likely to be the case - and Allah knows best - is that he was a believer and a monotheist, but he is not regarded as one of the Sahaabah, because he died cessation of revelation, after Prophethood began but before the message was proclaimed.

Al-Haakim (4211) narrated from 'Aa'ishah (may Allah be pleased with her) that the Prophet (blessings and peace of Allah be upon him) said:

"Do not revile Waraqah, for I saw that he will be in the Garden (Paradise), or will have two gardens." Al-Haakim classed it as saheeh according to the conditions of the two shaykhs [al-Bukhaari and Muslim]. It was also quoted by al-Albaani in as-Saheehah (405). Ad-Daaraqutni thought it most likely to be mursal, as it says in al-'Ilal (14/157)

If it is saheeh, then this may indicate that the Sahaabah were not certain that he was to be regarded as one of the companions of the Prophet (blessings and peace of Allah be upon him). If

Islam Question & Answer

General Supervisor:
Shaykh Muhammad Saalih al-Munajjid

he had been a Sahaabi, there would have been no need to tell the people not to criticise him (may Allah have mercy on him).

And Allah knows best.