×

125813 - Where should the woman who has been thrice divorced spend her 'iddah?

the question

- 1- A woman who has been divorced three times has sons and daughters. Where should she spent her 'iddah? In her family's house or in the marital home with her sons and daughters? Please note that she wants to spend her 'iddah with her sons and daughters.
- 2- There was a wife who was divorced three times, and during her 'iddah she became sad, and when she met her husband (during the 'iddah), she embraced him and things happened, but no kissing or intercourse took place.

What is the way to expiate for this sin by both parties? Please note that no kissing or intercourse took place.

Detailed answer

Praise be to Allah.

Firstly:

If a man has divorced his wife irrevocably, she is not entitled to any maintenance or accommodation during the 'iddah, unless she is pregnant. Irrevocable divorce is of two types:

- 1. Minor irrevocable divorce, which is when the woman is divorced before consummation of the marriage, and divorce in return for compensation (meaning: in return for money taken by the husband).
- 2. Major irrevocable divorce, which means completion of three divorces (talaqaat).

Ibn Qudaamah (may Allaah have mercy on him) said: If a man divorces his wife in such a way that has no right to take her back, then she is not entitled to accommodation or maintenance, unless she is pregnant.

×

In general, if a man divorces his wife irrevocably, either by a third divorce (talaaq), or khula', or an annulment, and she is pregnant, then she is entitled to maintenance and accommodation, according to scholarly consensus, because Allaah says (interpretation of the meaning):

"Lodge them (the divorced women) where you dwell, according to your means, and do not harm them so as to straiten them (that they be obliged to leave your house). And if they are pregnant, then spend on them till they lay down their burden"

[al-Talaaq 65:6].

According to some reports of Faatimah bint Qays, "You are not entitled to any maintenance unless you are pregnant." and because the pregnancy is his child, so he is obliged to spend on it, and he cannot spend on him (the fetus) except by spending on her, so it is obligatory, just as it is obligatory to pay for the costs of breastfeeding.

But if she is not pregnant, then there is no maintenance for her.

With regard to accommodation, there are two reports, one of which is that she is entitled to that.

This is the view of 'Umar and his son, and Ibn Mas'ood, 'Aa'ishah, the seven fuqaha' of Madeenah,

Maalik and al-Shaafa'i, because of the verse quoted above.

The second report says that she is not entitled to accommodation or maintenance, and this is the prevalent view of the madhhab, and it is the view of 'Ali, Ibn 'Abbaas, Jaabir, 'Ata', Tawoos, al-Hasan, Ikrimah, Maymoon ibn Mahraan, Ishaaq, Abu Thawr and Dawood.

Most of the Iraqi fuqaha' said that she has the right to accommodation and maintenance. This is the view of Ibn Shubrumah, Ibn Abi Layla, al-Thawri, al-Hasan ibn Saalih, Abu Haneefah and his companions, al-Batti and al-'Anbari. End quote from al-Mughni (8/185).

The evidence that the irrevocably divorced woman is not entitled to maintenance or accommodation is the report narrated by Muslim (1480) from al-Sha'bi who said: I entered upon Faatimah bint Qays and asked her about the judgement that the Messenger of Allaah (blessings and peace of Allaah be upon him) passed concerning her, and she said that her husband divorced



her irrevocably, and she said: I referred my dispute with him concerning accommodation and maintenance to the Messenger of Allaah (blessings and peace of Allaah be upon him), and he did not give me any accommodation or maintenance, and he told me to observe my 'iddah in the house of Ibn Umm Maktoom.

According to another report also narrated by Muslim, she said: I mentioned that to the Messenger of Allaah (blessings and peace of Allaah be upon him) and he said: "There is no maintenance or accommodation for you."

According to a report narrated by Abu Dawood, "There is no maintenance for you unless you are pregnant."

Ibn 'Abd al-Barr (may Allaah have mercy on him) said: But with regard to evidence and what is binding thereof, the view of Ahmad bin Hanbal and those who followed him is more sound and more correct, because if it were obligatory for her to stay in her husband's house and was something ordained by Allaah, then Messenger of Allaah (blessings and peace of Allaah be upon him) would have obliged her to do that and would not have made her leave her husband's house to go to the house of Umm Shareek, or to the house of Ibn Umm Maktoom. As it is proven that the Prophet (blessings and peace of Allaah be upon him) said to Faatimah bint Qays, who had been irrevocably divorced: "There is no accommodation or maintenance for you; rather accommodation and maintenance are for the one who may be taken back," then what can contradict that? Can it be contradicted except by a similar report from the Prophet (blessings and peace of Allaah be upon him), who was the one who explained what Allaah meant in His Book? And nothing of that nature has been reported from him (blessings and peace of Allaah be upon him). It is well known that he knew better than anyone else about the interpretation of the words of Allaah (interpretation of the meaning): "Lodge them (the divorced women) where you dwell". End quote from al-Tamheed (19/151).

If the husband allows her to stay in his house during the 'iddah, then there is nothing wrong with her staying there, on condition that she observe hijab before him, because by virtue of the irrevocable divorce he has become a stranger to her. But it is better for her to observe the 'iddah ×

in her family's house, so as to close the door to fitnah, as mentioned in the question, because the shaytaan may make her appear attractive to him, and make him appear attractive to her, until they do a haraam action, when before that the shaytaan strove hard to make them unattractive to one another until the threefold divorce took place: "Then take admonition, O you with eyes (to see)" [al-Hashr 59:2].

Secondly:

For an irrevocably divorced woman to embrace her former husband is a haraam action, as was what happened after that of touching him and so on. What they must do is repent to Allaah and regret this evil action. It is no secret that with the third divorce, they became strangers(non-mahrams) to one another, so it is not permissible to look or touch, let alone the embracing and what you mentioned. It is not permissible for him to go back to her until she has married another husband, in a genuine marriage, and not a marriage of convenience aimed at making her permissible for the first husband, then he (the second husband) dies or leaves her.

See also question number 14038.

And Allaah knows best.